





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,501	09/08/2000	Yasuhiko Kojima	PM 273851 EL00018CDC	3068
909 75	590 03/12/2003			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1050 MCLEAN, VA			MOORE, KARLA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	13
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	<u> </u>	53				
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/658,501	KOJIMA ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karla Moore	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	: (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of to no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponent of the control o	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 (iod of extension and the corresponding are of the shortened statutory period for rep Office later than three months after the months.	ing date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nount of the fee. The appropriate extension by originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:					
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered a raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)∭ approved or b)∭ disar	proved by the Examiner.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 10. Other:

Additional structural limitations have been added to original independent claims 1 and 12, which would require further consideration and further search.

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700